Mining

Contributing editors **Michael Bourassa and John Turner**



2018



© Law Business Research 2018

GETTING THE DEAL THROUGH

Mining 2018

Contributing editors Michael Bourassa and John Turner Fasken

Reproduced with permission from Law Business Research Ltd This article was first published in June 2018 For further information please contact editorial@gettingthedealthrough.com

Publisher Tom Barnes tom.barnes@lbresearch.com

Subscriptions James Spearing subscriptions@gettingthedealthrough.com

Senior business development managers Adam Sargent adam.sargent@gettingthedealthrough.com

Dan White dan.white@gettingthedealthrough.com



Published by Law Business Research Ltd 87 Lancaster Road London, W11 1QQ, UK Tel: +44 20 3780 4147 Fax: +44 20 7229 6910

© Law Business Research Ltd 2018 No photocopying without a CLA licence. First published 2005 Fourteenth edition ISBN 978-1-78915-045-2 The information provided in this publication is general and may not apply in a specific situation. Legal advice should always be sought before taking any legal action based on the information provided. This information is not intended to create, nor does receipt of it constitute, a lawyer–client relationship. The publishers and authors accept no responsibility for any acts or omissions contained herein. The information provided was verified between April and June 2018. Be advised that this is a developing area.

Printed and distributed by Encompass Print Solutions Tel: 0844 2480 112



CONTENTS

Global overview: update on recent and upcoming mining law conferences	5	India Neeraj Menon and Karthy Nair	119
Michael Bourassa		Trilegal	
Fasken		2	
Mining in Japan	8	Indonesia	127
	0	Rahmat S S Soemadipradja, Robert M Reid	
Hiroyasu Konno, Yoshiaki Otsuki and Jun Katsube Nishimura & Asahi		and Rachel Situmorang Soemadipradja & Taher	
Latin America overview	10	Kazakhstan	138
Florencia Heredia		Azamat Kuatbekov and Nurgul Abdreyeva	
Allende & Brea		Baker McKenzie	
Angola	14	Mexico	146
João Afonso Fialho and Ângela Viana Vieira de Almeida		Enrique Rodríguez del Bosque RB Abogados	
Argentina	21	Mozambique	154
Florencia Heredia and Agostina L Martinez		João Afonso Fialho and Catarina Coimbra	
Allende & Brea		VdA Legal Partners	
A	_	Guilherme Daniel Guilherme Daniel & Associados	
Armenia	29	Guinerme Daniel & Associados	
Aram Orbelyan, Lilit Karapetyan and Roustam Badasyan Concern Dialog Law Firm		Myanmar	162
		Khin Cho Kyi, Nwe Nwe Kyaw Myint and Thawdar Sein	102
Australia	35	Myanmar Legal Services	
Simon Fraser and Tanya Denning		-	
Ashurst		Peru	169
Brazil	56	Fernando Pickmann Dentons	
Claudio Guerreiro, Luiz André Nunes de Oliveira,			
Carlos Maurício Ribeiro and Rodrigo Leite Moreira		Philippines	176
Vieira Rezende Advogados		Patricia AO Bunye	·
		Cruz Marcelo & Tenefrancia	
Canada	65		
Michael Bourassa and John Turner Fasken		South Africa	185
FASKEII		Peter Leon and Patrick Leyden Herbert Smith Freehills South Africa LLP	
Chile	75	Terbert Shifti Freehins South Africa LLi	
Rodrigo Muñoz U	/5	Sweden	192
Núñez, Muñoz & Cía Ltda		Peter Dyer and Pia Pehrson	-/-
		Foyen Advokatfirma	
Democratic Republic of the Congo	81		
Olivier Bustin and Matthieu Le Roux		Thailand	199
Vieira de Almeida		Albert T Chandler, Sawanee Gulthawatvichai	
Ecuador	87	and Christopher Kalis Chandler MHM Limited	
Cesar Zumarraga and Juan Fernando Larrea	0/		
Tobar ZVS Spingarn		United Kingdom	208
		Richard Blunt, Susie Davies, Saskia Volhard and Ruchika P	atel
Finland	<u>95</u>	Baker McKenzie	
Pekka Holopainen and Panu Skogström			
Kalliolaw Attorneys Ltd		United States	214
		John D Fognani, Michael T Hegarty and Christopher J Reag	gen
Ghana	105	Haynes and Boone, LLP	
Michael Edem Akafia, Kimathi Kuenyehia Sr and Sefakor Kuenyehia		Uzbekistan	222
Kimathi & Partners, Corporate Attorneys		Bakhodir Jabborov	222
· k ø		GRATA International Law Firm	
Greenland	113		
Peter Schriver		Zambia	229
Nuna Law Firm		Charles Mkokweza	

Preface

Mining 2018

Fourteenth edition

Getting the Deal Through is delighted to publish the fourteenth edition of *Mining*, which is available in print, as an e-book and online at www.gettingthedealthrough.com.

Getting the Deal Through provides international expert analysis in key areas of law, practice and regulation for corporate counsel, cross-border legal practitioners, and company directors and officers.

Throughout this edition, and following the unique **Getting the Deal Through** format, the same key questions are answered by leading practitioners in each of the jurisdictions featured. Our coverage this year includes new chapters on Armenia and the Democratic Republic of the Congo.

Getting the Deal Through titles are published annually in print. Please ensure you are referring to the latest edition or to the online version at www.gettingthedealthrough.com.

Every effort has been made to cover all matters of concern to readers. However, specific legal advice should always be sought from experienced local advisers.

Getting the Deal Through gratefully acknowledges the efforts of all the contributors to this volume, who were chosen for their recognised expertise. We also extend special thanks to the contributing editors, Michael Bourassa and John Turner of Fasken, for their continued assistance with this volume.

GETTING THE DEAL THROUGH

London June 2018

Mining in Japan

Hiroyasu Konno, Yoshiaki Otsuki and Jun Katsube

Nishimura & Asahi

Overview

Principal law governing mining activity in Japan

The principal law that regulates the mining industry in Japan is the Mining Law (Law No. 289 of 20 December 1950). The mining right is defined in the Mining Law of Japan as the generic name for the prospecting right and the digging right. It is necessary to obtain a prospecting right to conduct exploratory digging and a digging right to conduct digging for production.

Special treatment of certain types of mineral

The 'Specified Mineral' procedure is different from the one for any other types of minerals. With respect to a Specified Mineral, a tender bid must be conducted for each specified area to be designated by the government, whereby the most competitive applicant will be granted the mining right for such specified area. The Specified Minerals designated under the Mining Law of Japan is as follows:

- oil and combustible natural gas;
- gold ore, silver ore, copper ore, lead ore, bismuth ore, tin ore, antimony ore, mercury ore, zinc ore, iron ore, iron sulfide ore, manganese ore, tungsten ore, molybdenum ore, nickel ore, cobalt ore, uranium ore, thorium ore and barites, which constitute hydrothermal deposits located subsea or beneath the sea;
- copper ore, lead ore, zinc ore, iron ore, manganese ore, tungsten ore, molybdenum ore, nickel ore and cobalt ore, which constitute sedimentary deposits located subsea or beneath the sea; and
- asphalt.

Mining rights for minerals other than Specified Minerals are granted on a first-come, first-served basis, whereby the mining right will be granted to the applicant who has lodged the application faster than the other applicants.

Ownership of land and minerals

Regardless of the registration of the mining rights, the surface rights of the land shall be kept by the landowner. On the other hand, minerals are deemed to belong to the nation before they are dug out. However, once they are dug out over the land, the minerals shall become the property of the holder of the relevant mining right, either prospecting right or digging right, as outlined below.

Prospecting right

Reservation of preference of application for a prospecting right

With respect to a Specified Mineral, the most competitive applicant will be granted the prospecting right; accordingly, nothing is 'reserved' by merely submitting the first (or faster) application.

For types of minerals other than Specified Minerals, on the other hand, the applicant with precedence over the land is determined according to the 'time of dispatch of the application'. The time of dispatch of the application is to be proven by certification of the time of the undertaking issued by the post office that delivers the application. If the applicant is the first person to dispatch an application for a prospecting right, the applicant will have precedence over any others for the area, unless and until such application is rejected by the Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry (METI) thereafter.

Regardless of whether it is a Specified Mineral, after the applicant is granted a prospecting right, the applicant will then have precedence

over any others for the area pursuant to such prospecting right, as long as such prospecting right remains valid.

Assessment period of application

For a Specified Mineral, a tender bid period (eg, six months) is designated by the government, after which each application is assessed. The period for assessing the application for the Specified Mineral depends on the situation. For minerals other than the Specified Mineral, on the other hand, METI's standard review period is around six months, in addition to the statutory consultation with local government taking around three months; therefore, the standard period for assessment of an application for prospecting right is nine months in total.

Review process of application

The items to be reviewed by METI with respect to an application for a prospecting right are the same both for the Specified Mineral and other types of minerals. No mining right, either prospecting or digging right, can be granted unless the applicant is a Japanese national or a Japanese corporation. As long as the applicant is a Japanese corporation, however, the shareholder thereof may be a foreigner (it can be a foreign-controlled entity).

Subject to these conditions, METI will review, among others, the applicant's financial basis, technical ability and social reliability. The financial basis is verified by looking at the amount of funds necessary to conduct the relevant mining activities and the source of such funds (eg, own funds, group company loan or bank loan), as well as by looking at the applicant's balance sheet and profit or loss statement. Technical ability will be verified by looking at the management structure of the technicians and the experience of each technician, in particular verifying whether the technicians are experienced concerning the type of mineral for which the application is submitted.

Denial of granting a prospecting right

As noted above, for a Specified Mineral, the most competitive applicant will be granted a prospecting right.

For the other types of minerals, whenever the requirements explained in the paragraph above are not satisfied in METI's opinion, the application must be rejected. As explained in the first paragraph of this section, the applicant will lose precedence if its application is rejected by METI. There are two ways in which the applicant can appeal against such rejection: appeal against METI itself; or sue METI before the court.

Minimum work obligation upon granting of a prospecting right

There is no requirement for minimum work obligation or expenditure upon the applicant is granted a prospecting right. However, the holder of the prospecting right has to begin some exploratory digging work within six months of the prospecting right being granted. The meaning of 'begin the exploratory digging work' will be determined based on the situation; but one of the benchmarks is whether the work set out in the operational plan for the planned mining activities – which must be submitted to METI – has been commenced.

Negotiation with landowner

In principle, this depends on the individual negotiation with the landowner. If the individual negotiation is not successful, the holder of a mining right can seek METI's permission to enter the land without the landowner's approval.

Extension of tenure of prospecting right

A holder of a prospecting right can extend the prospecting right by two years just twice, which means the duration of the prospecting right may be six years in total as a maximum. However, the prospecting right for oil and combustible natural gas is valid for four years, extendable twice (those obtained before the amendment of the Mining Law in 2012 are valid for two years, extendable three times).

In any case mentioned in the preceding paragraph, the holder of prospecting right must satisfy the following requirements in order to extend the prospecting right:

- he or she must demonstrate that it has diligently explored the minerals;
- it is necessary to continue the exploration activity to confirm the status of the mineral deposit; and
- the prospecting right's holder has paid the mining lot tax.

Taxation related to prospecting right

There are two types of Japanese local tax on mining activities, whereas there is no national tax.

A mining lot tax is imposed proportionate to the size of the mining area for which the mining right is granted (either a prospecting right or digging right).

A mineral product tax is imposed proportionate to the amount of the minerals produced. As far as there is any production of minerals, the mineral product tax will be imposed even if only the prospecting right is granted.

Digging right

Conversion from a prospecting right to a digging right

As mentioned above, for the Specified Mineral, the most competitive applicant will be granted the digging right; accordingly, no other applicant will be granted the same right for the same specified area.

For the other types of minerals, in order to apply for a digging right the applicant must demonstrate that it has found the mineral deposit and it makes commercial sense to dig for the minerals, given the amount and quality thereof. There is no statutory system under the Japanese Mining Law to convert a prospecting right into a digging right automatically; however, the applicant can maintain precedence over the mining area by applying a digging right while its prospecting right is valid.

Review process of application for a digging right

The review process of the application for a digging right is almost the same as the one for a prospecting right. However, in case of application for a digging right, the applicant must submit an explanatory document outlining the location, strike, dip, thickness and any other information regarding the status of the mineral deposit discovered under the prospecting right.

Denial of granting digging right

This is the same as for prospecting rights.

Minimum work obligation upon granting of digging right

This is the same as for prospecting rights. The applicant must commence the work set out in the operation plan for the purpose of digging the minerals within six months of the digging right being granted, whether it is construction of production facilities or any other digging activities.

Negotiation with landowner

This is the same as for prospecting rights.

Tenure of digging right

Unlike the prospecting right, there is no tenure for the digging right, which lasts forever after the digging right is registered in the official mining registry. However, if the digging rights holder discontinues digging activity for over one year, the digging right may be revoked at METI's discretion.

Recent developments in the Mining Law

In 2011, the Japanese government discussed how to procure minerals such as oil, gas and methane hydrate, given the recent trend towards 'resource nationalism' arising in oil-producing countries. To address this problem, an amendment to the Mining Law was effected in January 2012, under which the Specified Mineral regime noted above was introduced, whereby the most competitive applicant will be granted a mining right for a Specified Mineral such as oil and gas.

Under the Mining Law as amended in 2012, the Japanese government was scheduled to examine the enforcement of, and any other situation around, the amended Mining Law five years after the amendment, which was January 2017. Based on this examination, METI recently amended the relevant standards for its approval of extension of tenure of prospecting right, and those for its approval of the postponement of commencing operation or cessation of the same under either the prospecting right or digging right. This amendment came into effect as of 1 April 2018.

NISHIMURA & ASAHI

Hiroyasu Konno Yoshiaki Otsuki Jun Katsube

Otemon Tower, 1-1-2 Otemachi Chiyoda-ku Tokyo 100-8124 Japan h_konno@jurists.co.jp y_otsuki@jurists.co.jp j_katsube@jurists.co.jp

Tel: +81 3 6250 6200 Fax: +81 3 6250 7200 www.jurists.co.jp

Getting the Deal Through

Acquisition Finance Advertising & Marketing Agribusiness Air Transport Anti-Corruption Regulation Anti-Money Laundering Appeals Arbitration Art I aw Asset Recovery Automotive Aviation Finance & Leasing Aviation Liability **Banking Regulation** Cartel Regulation Class Actions Cloud Computing Commercial Contracts **Competition Compliance** Complex Commercial Litigation Construction Copyright Corporate Governance Corporate Immigration Corporate Reorganisations Cybersecurity Data Protection & Privacy Debt Capital Markets **Dispute Resolution** Distribution & Agency Domains & Domain Names Dominance e-Commerce **Electricity Regulation Energy Disputes**

Enforcement of Foreign Judgments Environment & Climate Regulation Equity Derivatives Executive Compensation & Employee Benefits Financial Services Compliance **Financial Services Litigation** Fintech Foreign Investment Review Franchise Fund Management Gas Regulation **Government Investigations** Government Relations Healthcare Enforcement & Litigation High-Yield Debt Initial Public Offerings Insurance & Reinsurance Insurance Litigation Intellectual Property & Antitrust Investment Treaty Arbitration Islamic Finance & Markets Joint Ventures Labour & Employment Legal Privilege & Professional Secrecy Licensing Life Sciences Loans & Secured Financing Mediation Merger Control Mining Oil Regulation Outsourcing Patents Pensions & Retirement Plans Pharmaceutical Antitrust

Ports & Terminals Private Antitrust Litigation Private Banking & Wealth Management Private Client Private Equity Private M&A Product Liability Product Recall Project Finance Public M&A Public-Private Partnerships Public Procurement Real Estate Real Estate M&A Renewable Energy Restructuring & Insolvency **Right of Publicity** Risk & Compliance Management Securities Finance Securities Litigation Shareholder Activism & Engagement Ship Finance Shipbuilding Shipping State Aid Structured Finance & Securitisation Tax Controversy Tax on Inbound Investment Telecoms & Media Trade & Customs Trademarks Transfer Pricing Vertical Agreements

Also available digitally



www.gettingthedealthrough.com